

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,709	03/22/2005		Martin Geiser	4-32688A	8902	
1095 NOVARTIS	7590	08/31/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CORPORATE		STEADMA	STEADMAN, DAVID J			
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3 EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1656		
			ł	*		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•			08/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/528,709	GEISER, MARTIN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•	•	David J. Steadman	1656			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1			
Period fo			· •			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2006.	•			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
3) Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 1-18 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the claims, filed on 3/22/05, is acknowledged. This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims.
- [3] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the specification, filed on 3/22/05, is acknowledged.
- [4] Receipt of a sequence listing in computer readable form (CRF), a paper copy thereof, a statement of their sameness, and a statement that no new matter has been added to the specification by the paper copy of the sequence CRF, all filed on 9/28/06, is acknowledged. In order to perfect sequence compliance, applicant is required to submit a specification amendment directing entry of the substitute sequence listing filed on 9/28/06 into the specification.

Lack of Unity

[5] Lack of unity is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains the following inventions or goups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Art Unit: 1656

Group I, claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9, drawn to the special technical feature of a crystal of ZAP-70 kinase and a method for making said crystal.

Group II, claim(s) 6, drawn to the special technical feature of a computer-readable medium.

Group III, claim(s) 10-11, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of determining the 3-D structure of the catalytic domain of ZAP-70.

Group IV, claim(s) 12-17, drawn to the special technical feature of a method of identifying a ligand or low molecular weight compound.

Group V, claim(s) 18, drawn to the special technical feature of a pharmaceutical composition.

[6] The technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-V is a crystal of ZAP-70 kinase. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reason(s):

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when there is a shared same or corresponding special technical feature among the claimed inventions. The crystal of Group I, the medium of Group II, the methods of Groups III-IV, and the pharmaceutical composition of Group V share no special technical feature as the crystal of Group I encompasses crystals or the method of Group I produces crystals that are not required to have crystallized ZAP-70 proteins with 3-D structures having the structural coordinates of Table 1. As such, the inventions of Groups I-V do not have the shared same or corresponding special technical feature.

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions of Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of Group I is a crystal of ZAP-70 and a method for making said crystal. According to the corresponding international search report, the method of Group I was known in the prior

Application/Control Number: 10/528,709

Art Unit: 1656

art as being taught by US Patent 6,251,620, and thus lacks novelty or inventive step. As such, the technical feature is not a contribution over the prior art.

- [7] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- [8] Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- [9] The claims will be examined only to the extent they read on the elected subject matter.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

[10] The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1656